

REMARKS

Claims 1-14 are presently pending and stand rejected. Reconsideration in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested. Claims 1-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Baker.

Claim 1 is amended to recite, among other limitations, “repeatedly providing a last of the first number of lines for scaling or composing or capturing for each of the horizontal synchronization pulses that are associated with line numbers that exceed the first number of lines”. Assignee respectfully submits that Baker does not recite the foregoing, and accordingly requests that Examiner withdraw the rejection to claim 1 and dependent claim 3.

Claims 4 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b). Claim 4 recites, among other limitations, “a controller for providing a first parameter to the feeder indicating that the picture comprises a first number of lines and providing a second parameter to the scalar or compositor or capture indicating that the picture comprises a second number of lines”. Claim 9 recites, among other limitations, “a controller connected to the feeder, the scalar, the compositor, the capture and the controller operable to program a feeder with a first parameter indicating that the picture comprises a first number of lines and program a scalar or compositor or capture with a second parameter indicating that the picture comprises a second number of lines”. Examiner reads “feeder” on fig. 3, item 314 and “scalar” on fig. 3, item 306. Further, Examiner has indicated that Baker “naturally comprises information indicated that picture comprises a first (contained in the luminance signal) and second (contained in the at least one chrominance signal) number of image lines.”

Even if “feeder” were properly read on fig. 3, item 314, and “scalar” were properly read on fig. 3, item 306, and even if “a first number of lines” are “contained in the luminance signal” and the “second number lines” are “contained in the chrominance signal”, the foregoing does not teach “**a controller for providing a first parameter** to the feeder indicating that the picture comprises a first number of lines and providing a second parameter to the scalar or compositor or capture indicating that the picture comprises a second number of lines”. Accordingly, Assignee respectfully traverses the rejection to claim 4. Moreover, clearly the foregoing would not be “a controller connected to the

feeder, the scalar, the compositor, the capture and the controller operable to program a feeder with a first parameter indicating that the picture comprises a first number of lines and program a scalar or compositor or capture with a second parameter indicating that the picture comprises a second number of lines”. Accordingly, Assignee respectfully traverses the rejection to claim 9.

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, Assignee submits that each of the pending claims are now in a condition for allowance. Accordingly, Examiner is requested to pass this case to issuance.

It is believed that all monies for the actions described herein are provided with this correspondence. To the extent that additional monies are required for any of the actions requested in the correspondence, Commissioner is authorized to charge such fees and credit any overpayments to deposit account 13-0017.

Respectfully Submitted



Mirut Dalal
Attorney for Assignee
Reg. No. 44,052

March 11, 2008

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 West Madison – Suite 3400
Chicago, IL 60661

Phone (312) 775-8000
FAX (312) 775-8100